# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,	)
DI : 4100	)
Plaintiff,	)
	)
v.	) Case No. 05-cv-329-GKF(PJC)
	)
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.,	)
	)
Defendants.	)

# RESPONSE OF STATE OF OKLAHOMA TO "DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF REGARDING 'STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S NOTICE OF FILING OF DOCUMENT' [DKT #2108] OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO STRIKE" [DKT #2244]

The State of Oklahoma ("the State") respectfully submits this as its response to "Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Brief Regarding "State of Oklahoma's Notice of Filing Document" [DKT #2108] Or in the Alternative, Motion to Strike [DKT #2244] ("Motion")). For the following reasons, the Court should deny the Motion in its entirety. Should the Court need additional briefing on any topic contained in Defendants' proposed brief, the State asks leave to provide such briefing as may assist the Court.

#### I. Introduction

In their Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Join the Cherokee Nation as an [sic] Required Party or, in the Alternative, Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Based on Lack of Standing, DKT #1788 & 1790, Defendants suggested that, pursuant to Rule 19 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure the Cherokee Nation was a required party in this case, and that the Nation could not be joined as a party because of its sovereign immunity, thus warranting dismissal. In the alternative, Defendants suggested because the Cherokee Nation either allegedly owned or had

sovereignty over all of the natural resources in the IRW, the State lacked standing to prosecute this action. The State responded demonstrating that it has standing to prosecute this action, and that the Cherokee Nation is not a necessary party. DKT #1822. Defendants replied on January 20, 2009. DKT #1825.

On May 20, 2009, the State filed with the Court a copy of an agreement made the day before by the Cherokee Nation assigning to the State all of its rights to sue the Defendants "to the extent of its interests in the lands, water, and other natural resources in the Illinois River (including any regulatory authority incident thereto)," without definitively determining what those interests were. *See* DKT #2108 & 2108-2. In bringing the fact of this assignment to the Court's attention the State made no argument, but simply stated that the "document relates to issues raised" in Defendants' Rule 19 Motion, DKT #1788 & 1790. The assignment by the Cherokee Nation to the State is a fact which may be of some consequence in consideration of Defendants' Rule 19 motion, and the State correctly brought it to the Court's attention. In previous instances, the parties to this case have brought factual matters and legal developments to the Court's attention by documents called "notice" or "advice." <sup>1</sup>

# II. Argument

# A. The State's Notice is not a supplemental brief

Defendants claim that the filing of this Notice and its attached assignment violates the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and should be stricken. *See* Motion, p. 1. However, Defendants cite no Federal Rule of Civil Procedure which has been violated, let alone one which

See, e.g. DKT # 1165, 1169, 1170, & 1181 (Defendants' various notices of supplemental legal authority); DKT # 1390 (Advice of Cargill Defendants that they are members of the joint defense group in this action); DKT 1427 (Defendants' Notice of Advice that no stipulation reached on standing issue); DKT # 1703 (Advice of Cargill Defendants that small portion of poultry litter generated in the IRW was land applied or composted on farms).

requires striking the State's notice and copy of the assignment. Instead, Defendants cite two cases in which sur-reply briefs were forbidden because such filings were not provided for in the local court rules or because they were filed without consent of the opposing party. *See Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. v. Greuel,* 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36677, at \*14, n. 4 (D. Kan. April 30, 2009); *E.T.I. Euro Telecom Int'l N.V. v. Republic of Bolivia,* 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67217, at \* 1-2 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 4, 2008). Neither case supports striking the State's Notice to the Court, with its attached copy of the Cherokee Nation assignment.

While the Court's Local Civil Rule 7.2(h) states that "supplemental briefs" are not encouraged, and may only be filed with leave of Court, and, when allowed, will be limited to ten pages, the State's notice is not a brief. It is merely an advice to the Court and the parties of the fact that the Cherokee Nation has made the assignment which is attached. It identifies the motion to which it relates, and, unlike a brief, makes no argument whatsoever.

The assignment from the Cherokee Nation to the State is an operative fact, of which the Court is required to be informed. The State simply advised the Court of the fact, without elaboration or argument. The Notice could not have been filed within the briefing cycle, because the assignment was not made until May 19, 2009, the day before it was filed. However, should the Court determine leave was needed to bring the assignment to the Court's attention, the State respectfully asks leave to do so. The Court should not decline to take notice of the operative fact of the assignment by striking it from the Court's consideration.

## B. The State will provide supplemental briefing desired by the Court

Defendants on May 21, 2009 have already filed a brief (without leave of court) regarding the subject assignment. Defendants called that brief a "Counter-Notice on the 'State of Oklahoma's Notice of Filing of Document' [DKT # 2108]." DKT # 2110. That "Counter-

Notice" correctly notes that the State had not fully explained to the Court the legal implications of various provisions contained in the assignment (because unlike Defendants in their "Counter-Notice" the State made no argument whatsoever in its Notice). *Id.*, p. 1. The "Counter-Notice" went on to assert the State had made certain concessions (which it did not) and made legal arguments about the Cherokee Nation assignment. These arguments distinguish Defendants' "Counter-Notice" as a brief, rather than a mere advice of fact, which was the substance and effect of the State's notice. On May 22, 2009 the Court entered a minute order that any further briefing related to Docket # 1788 and 1790 would be stricken unless filed after application is filed and leave granted by the Court. DKT #2111. The Court neither struck the State's Notice (DKT # 2108) nor otherwise commented on it.

Defendants' Motion argues the benefits of supplemental briefs which may be helpful to the Court. *See* Motion, p. 2. If additional briefing *is* helpful to the Court, the State stands ready to assist and to respond to the substance of Defendants' proposed brief, DKT #2244-2. However the setting of the underlying motions, Docket Nos. #1788 & 1790, for argument on July 2, 2009, within the normal response time for Defendants' Motion, makes a full and fair response to that Motion impossible before argument. Therefore, the State will appear on July 2, 2009, prepared to argue matters currently pending before the Court, and stands ready to provide any supplemental briefing which the Court may find helpful at that time.

#### III. Conclusion

The State respectfully asks the Court to deny Defendants' Motion in its entirety. Should the Court desire additional briefing on topics included in Defendants' proposed brief, the State asks for a reasonable time within which to provide that briefing.

W.A. Drew Edmondson OBA # 2628 ATTORNEY GENERAL Kelly H. Burch OBA #17067 ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL State of Oklahoma 313 N.E. 21<sup>st</sup> St. Oklahoma City, OK 73105 (405) 521-3921

## /s/Robert A. Nance

M. David Riggs OBA #7583
Joseph P. Lennart OBA #5371
Richard T. Garren OBA #3253
Sharon K. Weaver OBA #19010
Robert A. Nance OBA #6581
D. Sharon Gentry OBA #15641
David P. Page OBA #6852
RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN,
ORBISON & LEWIS
502 West Sixth Street
Tulsa, OK 74119
(918) 587-3161

Louis W. Bullock OBA #1305 Robert M. Blakemore OBA 18656 BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE 110 West Seventh Street Suite 707 Tulsa OK 74119 (918) 584-2001

Frederick C. Baker (admitted *pro hac vice*) Elizabeth C. Ward (admitted *pro hac vice*) Elizabeth Claire Xidis (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, SC 29465 (843) 216-9280

William H. Narwold (admitted *pro hac vice*) Ingrid L. Moll (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 20 Church Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 882-1676

Jonathan D. Orent (admitted *pro hac vice*) Michael G. Rousseau (admitted *pro hac vice*) Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick (admitted *pro hac vice*) MOTLEY RICE, LLC 321 South Main Street Providence, RI 02940 (401) 457-7700

Attorneys for the State of Oklahoma

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this  $\underline{1}^{st}$  day of July, 2009, I electronically transmitted the above and foregoing pleading to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System for filing and a transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General fc\_docket@oag.state.ok.us Kelly H. Burch, Assistant Attorney General kelly\_burch@oag.state.ok.us

M. David Riggs

Joseph P. Lennart

Richard T. Garren

Sharon K. Weaver

Robert A. Nance

D. Sharon Gentry

David P. Page

driggs @riggsabney.com

jlennart@riggsabney.com

rgarren@riggsabney.com

sweaver@riggsabney.com

rnance@riggsabney.com

sgentry@riggsabney.com

dpage@riggsabney.com

RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS

Louis Werner Bullock
Robert M. Blakemore
BULLOCK, BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE

bullock@bullock-blakemore.com
bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com

Frederick C. Baker fbaker@motleyrice.com

Lee M. Heath
Elizabeth C. Ward
Elizabeth Claire Xidis
William H. Narwold
Ingrid L. Moll
Jonathan D. Orent
Michael G. Rousseau
Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick
MOTLEY RICE, LLC

lheath@motleyrice.com lward@motleyrice.com cxidis@motleyrice.com bnarwold@motleyrice.com imoll@motleyrice.com jorent@motleyrice.com mrousseau@motleyrice.com ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com

# **Counsel for State of Oklahoma**

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net PERRINE, MCGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BARRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.

David C. Senger david@cgmlawok.com

Robert E Sanders rsanders@youngwilliams.com
Edwin Stephen Williams steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.

# **Counsel for Cal-Maine Farms, Inc and Cal-Maine Foods, Inc.**

John H. Tucker jtucker@rhodesokla.com
Theresa Noble Hill thill@rhodesokla.com
Colin Hampton Tucker ctucker@rhodesokla.com
Kerry R. Lewis klewis@rhodesokla.com

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE

Terry Wayen West terry@thewestlawfirm.com

THE WEST LAW FIRM

Delmar R. Ehrich dehrich@faegre.com bjones@faegre.com **Bruce Jones** Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee kklee@faegre.com twalker@faegre.com Todd P. Walker cdolan@faegre.com Christopher H. Dolan mcollins@faegre.com Melissa C. Collins cdeihl@faegre.com Colin C. Deihl rkahnke@faegre.com Randall E. Kahnke

FAEGRE & BENSON, LLP

Dara D. Mann dmann@mckennalong.com

MCKENNA, LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

Counsel for Cargill, Inc. & Cargill Turkey Production, LLC

James Martin Graves Gary V Weeks Woody Bassett K. C. Dupps Tucker Earl Lee "Buddy" Chadick Vincent O. Chadick **BASSETT LAW FIRM** 

jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com wbassett@bassettlawfirm.com kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com bchadick@bassettlawfirm.com vchadick@bassettlawfirm.com

George W. Owens Randall E. Rose OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com rer@owenslawfirmpc.com

Counsel for George's Inc. & George's Farms, Inc.

A. Scott McDaniel smcdaniel@mhla-law.com Nicole Longwell nlongwell@mhla-law.com Philip Hixon phixon@mhla-law.com Craig A. Merkes cmerkes@mhla-law.com

MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, PLLC

Sherry P. Bartley sbartley@mwsgw.com MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & WOODYARD, PLLC **Counsel for Peterson Farms, Inc.** 

John Elrod jelrod@cwlaw.com Vicki Bronson vbronson@cwlaw.com P. Joshua Wisley jwisley@cwlaw.com Bruce W. Freeman bfreeman@cwlaw.com D. Richard Funk rfunk@cwlaw.com

CONNER & WINTERS, LLP **Counsel for Simmons Foods, Inc.** 

Stephen L. Jantzen sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com pbuchwald@ryanwhaley.com Paula M. Buchwald Patrick M. Ryan pryan@ryanwhaley.com

RYAN, WHALEY, COLDIRON & SHANDY, P.C.

mhopson@sidley.com Mark D. Hopson Jay Thomas Jorgensen jjorgensen@sidley.com Timothy K. Webster twebster@sidley.com Thomas C. Green tcgreen@sidley.com gtodd@sidley.com Gordon D. Todd SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD LLP

Robert W. George L. Bryan Burns Timothy T. Jones TYSON FOODS, INC robert.george@tyson.com bryan.burns@tyson.com tim.jones@tyson.com

Michael R. Bond michael.bond@kutakrock.com
Erin W. Thompson erin.thompson@kutakrock.com
Dustin R. Darst dustin.darst@kutakrock.com

KUTAK ROCK, LLP

Counsel for Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Poultry, Inc., Tyson Chicken, Inc., & Cobb-Vantress, Inc.

R. Thomas Lay rtl@kiralaw.com

KERR, IRVINE, RHODES & ABLES

Frank M. Evans, III fevans@lathropgage.com
Jennifer Stockton Griffin jgriffin@lathropgage.com
David Gregory Brown
LATHROP & GAGE LC

Counsel for Willow Brook Foods, Inc.

Robin S Conrad rconrad@uschamber.com

NATIONAL CHAMBER LITIGATION CENTER

Gary S Chilton gchilton@hcdattorneys.com

HOLLADAY, CHILTON AND DEGIUSTI, PLLC

Counsel for US Chamber of Commerce and American Tort Reform Association

D. Kenyon Williams, Jr. kwilliams@hallestill.com Michael D. Graves mgraves@hallestill.com

HALL, ESTILL, HARDWICK, GABLE, GOLDEN & NELSON

Counsel for Poultry Growers/Interested Parties/ Poultry Partners, Inc.

Richard Ford richard.ford@crowedunlevy.com
LeAnne Burnett leanne.burnett@crowedunlevy.com

**CROWE & DUNLEVY** 

Counsel for Oklahoma Farm Bureau, Inc.

Kendra Akin Jones, Assistant Attorney General Kendra.Jones@arkansasag.gov Charles L. Moulton, Sr Assistant Attorney General Charles.Moulton@arkansasag.gov Counsel for State of Arkansas and Arkansas National Resources Commission

Mark Richard Mullins

richard.mullins@mcafeetaft.com

MCAFEE & TAFT

<u>Counsel for Texas Farm Bureau; Texas Cattle Feeders Association; Texas Pork Producers</u> Association and Texas Association of Dairymen

Mia Vahlberg

mvahlberg@gablelaw.com

GABLE GOTWALS

James T. Banks Adam J. Siegel jtbanks@hhlaw.com ajsiegel@hhlaw.com

HOGAN & HARTSON, LLP

<u>Counsel for National Chicken Council; U.S. Poultry and Egg Association & National Turkey</u> Federation

John D. Russell

jrussell@fellerssnider.com

FELLERS, SNIDER, BLANKENSHIP, BAILEY

& TIPPENS, PC

William A. Waddell, Jr. David E. Choate

waddell@fec.net dchoate@fec.net

FRIDAY, ELDREDGE & CLARK, LLP

**Counsel for Arkansas Farm Bureau Federation** 

Barry Greg Reynolds

reynolds@titushillis.com jrainey@titushillis.com

Jessica E. Rainey

TITUS, HILLIS, REYNOLDS, LOVE,

DICKMAN & MCCALMON

DICKWAN & MCCALMON

Nikaa Baugh Jordan William S. Cox, III njordan@lightfootlaw.com wcox@lightfootlaw.com

LIGHTFOOT, FRANKLIN & WHITE, LLC

Counsel for American Farm Bureau and National Cattlemen's Beef Association

Duane L. Berlin

dberlin@levberlin.com

LEV & BERLIN PC

<u>Counsel for Council of American Survey Research Organizations & American Association for Public Opinion Research</u>

**Thomas C Green** -- via email: tcgreen@sidley.com Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood LLP

**Dustin McDaniel Justin Allen**Office of the Attorney General (Little Rock)
323 Center St, Ste 200
Little Rock, AR 72201-2610

**Steven B. Randall** 58185 County Rd 658 Kansas, Ok 74347

Cary Silverman -- via email: csilverman@shb.com Victor E Schwartz Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP (Washington DC)

/s/Robert A. Nance